

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing Amendment, claims 1, 3-4, 7, 9-10, and 13-23 are currently pending in this application. Claims 2, 5-6, 8, and 11-12 have been canceled without prejudice, claims 1, 3, 7, and 9 have been amended, and new claims 18-23 have been added. Applicant submits that no new matter has been introduced into the application by these amendments.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner is thanked for indicating that claims 13-17 contain allowable subject matter. The Examiner is further thanked for indicating that claims 3-6 and 9-12 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claims 3, 5, 9 and 11 because there are typographic errors in each of these claims. The claims have been amended to correct the errors and withdrawal of the objection to the claims 3, 5, 9 and 11 is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and 7 have been amended to remove the limitation "the call" which lacked antecedent basis. Claims 2-6 and 8-12 depend from claims 1 and 7, respectively. Withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection of claims 1-12 is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No 6,363,252 to Hamalainen et al. (hereinafter "Hamalainen") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,317,600 to Salonaho et al. (hereinafter "Salonaho") and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0185868 to Jain et al. (hereinafter "Jain").

Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,710,974 to Granlund et al. (hereinafter "Granlund") in view of Salonaho and Jain and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,760,303 to Brouwer (hereinafter "Brouwer").

Applicant: Paul Marinier
Application No.: 10/750,130

Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Granlund in view of Hamalainen, Salonaho and Jain.

Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Granlund in view of Hamalainen, Salonaho and Jain and further in view of Brouwer.

Claims 1 has been amended to include the elements of claims 2 and 3. Claim 7 has been amended to include the elements of claims 8 and 9. The Examiner has indicated that claims 3 and 9 contain allowable subject matter. Claims 2 and 8 are canceled.

Based on the arguments presented above, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §103 rejections of claims 1-2, and 7-8 are respectfully requested.

Applicant: Paul Marinier
Application No.: 10/750,130


Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a telephone interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the presently amended application is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Marinier

By  _____
Robert D. Leonard
Registration No. 57,204

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.
United Plaza, Suite 1600
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 568-6400
Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

RDL/mnr